

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,772		08/02/2004	Arthur G. Rodgers	04-0558	04-0558 4771	
24319	7590	08/20/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	RPORATIO RBER LANE			V		
MS: D-1		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITA	AS, CA 950	35	•			
			•	DATE MAILED: 08/20/2007		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·	•			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/710,772	RODGERS ET AL.	RODGERS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mahesh Dwivedi	2168				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	oears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence addres	;s			
The Ap	peal Brief filed on 19 July 2007 is defective for f	failure to comply with one	e or more provisions of 37 CFR	41.37.			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this N	otification, whichever is longer	EP ·			
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	nt of each ground of rejec	tion presented for review (37 C	FR			
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for ea	nch ground of rejection on appe	al (37 CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of th 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an	appendix thereto (37 CFR				
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evider other evidence entered by the examiner and r statement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellan	t in the appeal, along with a				
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisi identified in the Related Appeals and Interfere $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).						

1.) The brief contains heading that are considered improper. Heading V should read "Summary of the claimed subject matter" and Issues should read "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal. See MPEP 1205.03 for proper headings...

10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

TIM COLE PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST

Timothy Cole